

## Two Twenty report

### **Disclaimer**

Sporting Bots Limited does not accept any responsibility whatsoever for any loss that may be sustained as a result of the use (or misuse) of information contained in this report, irrespective of how that loss might be sustained.

Sporting Bots Limited does not guarantee winnings and cannot be held liable for losses resulting from the use of information obtained from within this document or from our sites.

This report is for information only. In no way we are advising you to act on the report or to bet on live markets. Please seek proper advice if you are unsure what to do.

### **Warning**

Betting can be very risky and users should only speculate with money that they can comfortably afford to lose and should ensure that the risks involved are fully understood, seeking advice if necessary.

### **The past does not equal the future.**

Although the information in this report was gathered by using the Grey Horse Bot installed on one of our servers it doesn't mean either using your own version or using selections manually will produce the same results.

Our past results do NOT in any way guarantee similar or like future results. Please keep this in mind when reading the report.

**Please gamble responsibly**  
<http://www.gambleaware.co.uk/>

**Please read the extra disclaimer at the bottom of this report.**

**This report was created 09/02/2015 and reflects results up to the 08/02/2015**

**Welcome** to the “Two Twenty” report.

Sometimes it is better to do these reports than just write a quick email outlining how the system has performed.

The TT system is based around one of our in-house rating systems created by yours truly.

The bit that seems to make it work though is that we take early morning favourites...But only use them if their price at 11.30 is the same or has come down (steamed).

This seems to indicate that the horse is still expected to do well and overall they seem to.

The thing that I think makes this system even more interesting is that you can actually decide which rating works best for you.

The range that seems to work for most people is 220 to 300.

**260 seem to be about the best...**

...But as I am about to show you that will depend on how you go about using the selections.

For this report I am going to stick with a ratings figure 220, 250, 260.

Although there are other figures in between that you may find more exciting for your style of betting.

I believe this is the first time anyone (that I am aware of) has given users the chance not only to check the results in this way...

...But also make their daily selections based on their favourite rating.

Everything is automated and easy to use and when you couple it with the power of the [Grey Horse Bot](#) then you don't actually have to anything!

You can check out the latest results [here](#).  
<http://twotwenty.spblabs.co.uk/results.asp>

## All Selections.

There are a number of ways you could consider using these selections but let us look at just using all of them with a fixed stake of 1 point first.

### Rating 220 (All Selections)

This was the rating figure I first chose because it seemed to work well. Although it is showing a little loss this month (up to the 09/02/2015) it is early in the month and it could easily recover.

Month By Month						
Month	Year	Selections	Wins	S/R	AWO	P/L
11	2014	101	50	49.5	1.34	12.59
12	2014	75	41	54.67	1.36	18.78
1	2015	63	31	49.21	1.47	11.25
2	2015	21	9	42.86	1.2	-1.77
4 Months		65 APM				10.21 APM

### Statistics

Total Selections:	Total Wins	Total Losers	LLR	HBD	Strike Rate	PLLR	Profit (points)	AWO	ROI
260	131	129	6	11.65	50.38%	8	40.86	1.36	15.72

**LLR** - Longest Losing Run. **HBD** - Highest Bank Drawdown. **AWO** - Average Winning Odds.

**ROI** - Return on Investment. **PLLR** - Predicted Longest Losing Run.

### Rating 250 (All Selections)

This has been suggested from users as a better alternative to 220. The strike rate is a lot higher and appears to be more consistent.

Month By Month						
Month	Year	Selections	Wins	S/R	AWO	P/L
11	2014	73	38	52.05	1.33	13.13
12	2014	56	32	57.14	1.27	14.58
1	2015	44	23	52.27	1.54	12.7
2	2015	15	8	53.33	1.24	2.44
4 Months		47 APM				10.71 APM

### Statistics

Total Selections:	Total Wins	Total Losers	LLR	HBD	Strike Rate	PLLR	Profit (points)	AWO	ROI
188	101	87	6	9.97	53.72%	7	42.85	1.35	22.79

## Rating 260 (All Selections)

This number probably works out as the best for most people.

Month By Month						
Month	Year	Selections	Wins	S/R	AWO	P/L
11	2014	65	35	53.85	1.32	13.98
12	2014	52	31	59.62	1.25	15.91
1	2015	43	22	51.16	1.56	11.71
2	2015	11	6	54.55	0.95	0.4
4 Months		42.75 APM				10.5 APM

### Statistics

Total Selections:	Total Wins	Total Losers	LLR	HBD	Strike Rate	PLLR	Profit (points)	AWO	ROI
171	94	77	6	9.14	54.97%	6	41.99	1.33	24.55

**LLR** - Longest Losing Run. **HBD** - Highest Bank Drawdown. **AWO** - Average Winning Odds.

**ROI** - Return on Investment. **PLLR** - Predicted Longest Losing Run.

At level stakes it appears that the system is returning around 10 plus points a month.

Which for a system that deals with mainly favourites I think is pretty amazing.

It's also nice to be on winners a lot rather than waiting around for days for one to turn up.

My original plan was to use this as a "Stop at the first winner" system.

I was modeling this on Stan's [Stop at a Winner](#) service but he only ever has 3 selections a day...where is we can have more or less.

You may want to experiment with Stop Losses.

But let's have a look to see what happens anyway.

## Stop at a Winner.

### Rating 220 (SAW)

Month	Year	Selections	Wins	S/R	AWO	P/L
11	2014	48	26	54.17	1.49	14.91
12	2014	38	24	63.16	1.08	10.6
1	2015	38	17	44.74	1.71	6.65
2	2015	9	3	33.33	0.97	-3.24
4 Months		33.25 APM				7.23 APM

#### Statistics

Total Selections:	Total Wins	Total Losers	Strike Rate	Profit (points)	AWO	ROI
133	70	63	52.63%	28.93	1.38	21.75

Strike rate is actually pretty good although profit isn't as much as on fixed stake.

### Rating 250 (SAW)

Month	Year	Selections	Wins	S/R	AWO	P/L
11	2014	42	23	54.76	1.49	13.64
12	2014	36	19	52.78	1.07	2.4
1	2015	30	15	50	1.75	9.97
2	2015	7	3	42.86	1.39	-0.03
4 Months		28.75 APM				6.49 APM

#### Statistics

Total Selections:	Total Wins	Total Losers	Strike Rate	Profit (points)	AWO	ROI
115	60	55	52.17%	25.98	1.42	22.59

No where near as good as level stakes.

## Rating 260 (SAW)

Month	Year	Selections	Wins	S/R	AWO	P/L
11	2014	38	22	57.89	1.44	14.12
12	2014	35	19	54.29	1.15	4.79
1	2015	31	15	48.39	1.7	8.28
2	2015	6	3	50	1.38	0.94
4 Months		27.5 APM				7.03 APM

### Statistics

Total Selections:	Total Wins	Total Losers	Strike Rate	Profit (points)	AWO	ROI
110	59	51	53.64%	28.13	1.41	25.58

Don't totally write off SAW because by controlling your stop losses you can find a little more profit.

The results page allows you to do just that...So change it to 3 and see what happens.

I would like to talk about recovery staking next...but before I do...

Please note: This is for information only. I am not for one moment suggesting that you use recovery staking in any form.

Your losses can build up quickly. So take advice and be very careful.

We are not talking about recovery staking in its purist form which basically means put all your losses on the next bet.

That's idea is outdated and only leads to losing all your bank.

So that's a big No No!

What I want to talk about is sequence recovery.

There is a number of ways you can do this but I prefer to keep it simple.

1,2,3,4,5,6,7,1

The first number represents our original stake.  
So let's say it is £2.00

If we lose we move to the next number in the sequence to find our stake.

$$2 \times £2.00 = £4.00$$

$$3 \times £2.00 = £6.00$$

$$4 \times £2.00 = £8.00$$

You can see that our stake is just increasing by our original stake.

If we win at anytime we drop our stake back down to our original £2.00 stake.

7 losers in a row represent a 28 point loss.

There are a couple of things you need to consider with this idea.

1. Because many of the TT selections are odds on (Under even's) you will not always recover your losses.

The point of this idea is just to help.

2. You may want to use a higher rating than 220 only because the strike rate helps decrease the chance of having over 7 losers in a row.

One of comments left by David was...

***“Hello Malcolm, I found that between the 251-254 range to be the most profitable, both in value and strike rate (I personally regard a high strike as a form of profit guidance).”***

I thought the user was originally talking about standard staking but when I looked at 123 staking in his range, I guessed that was what he was referring to.

What do you think?



Staking all selections.

**Ratings 220 (1,2,3 etc staking)**

Month	Year	Selections	Wins	S/R	AWO	P/L
11	2014	101	50	49.5	1.34	36.33
12	2014	75	41	54.67	1.36	43.38
1	2015	63	31	49.21	1.47	19.35
2	2015	21	9	42.86	1.2	-8.18
4 Months		65 APM				30.29 APM

**Statistics**

Total Selections:	Total Wins	Total Losers	LLR	Strike Rate	Profit (points)	AWO	ROI
260	131	129	6	50.38%	90.88	1.36	34.95

Quite a bit of profit but this month so far has been difficult.

**Ratings 250 (1,2,3 etc staking)**

Month	Year	Selections	Wins	S/R	AWO	P/L
11	2014	73	38	52.05	1.33	41.03
12	2014	56	32	57.14	1.27	26.71
1	2015	44	23	52.27	1.54	29.9
2	2015	15	8	53.33	1.24	5.9
4 Months		47 APM				34.51 APM

**Statistics**

Total Selections:	Total Wins	Total Losers	LLR	Strike Rate	Profit (points)	AWO	ROI
188	101	87	6	53.72%	103.54	1.35	55.08

Go and have a look at 251 then you will see what David is referring too.

### Ratings 260 (1,2,3 etc staking)

Month	Year	Selections	Wins	S/R	AWO	P/L
11	2014	65	35	53.85	1.32	32.45
12	2014	52	31	59.62	1.25	28.01
1	2015	43	22	51.16	1.56	27.23
2	2015	11	6	54.55	0.95	4.21
4 Months		42.75 APM				30.63 APM

### Statistics

Total Selections:	Total Wins	Total Losers	LLR	Strike Rate	Profit (points)	AWO	ROI
171	94	77	6	54.97%	91.9	1.33	53.74

Better than 220 this month that's for sure but it will be interesting to see how everything looks at the end of February.

So finally I thought we should have a look at SAW using staking.

Stop at a winner staking.

**Ratings 220 SAW (1,2,3 etc staking)**

Month	Year	Selections	Wins	S/R	AWO	P/L
11	2014	45	23	51.11	1.54	41.53
12	2014	39	20	51.28	1.08	9.67
1	2015	32	16	50	1.62	14.46
2	2015	7	3	42.86	1.39	1.95
4 Months		30.75 APM				16.9 APM

**Statistics**

Total Selections:	Total Wins	Total Losers	Strike Rate	Profit (points)	AWO	ROI
123	62	61	50.41%	67.61	1.41	54.97

Not the most profitable scenario so far but none the less interesting.

**Ratings 250 SAW (1,2,3 etc staking)**

Month	Year	Selections	Wins	S/R	AWO	P/L
11	2014	42	23	54.76	1.49	38.8
12	2014	36	19	52.78	1.07	7.92
1	2015	30	15	50	1.75	18.95
2	2015	7	3	42.86	1.39	1.95
4 Months		28.75 APM				16.91 APM

**Statistics**

Total Selections:	Total Wins	Total Losers	Strike Rate	Profit (points)	AWO	ROI
115	60	55	52.17%	67.63	1.42	58.81

There isn't a lot between 220 and 250.

## Ratings 260 SAW (1,2,3 etc staking)

Month	Year	Selections	Wins	S/R	AWO	P/L
11	2014	38	22	57.89	1.44	39.07
12	2014	35	19	54.29	1.15	13.94
1	2015	31	15	48.39	1.7	15.89
2	2015	6	3	50	1.38	3.93
4 Months		27.5 APM				18.21 APM

### Statistics

Total Selections:	Total Wins	Total Losers	Strike Rate	Profit (points)	AWO	ROI
110	59	51	53.64%	72.83	1.41	66.21

260 win's this one.

Again you may want to try a maximum loss strategy to see if that may make a difference.

Well I hope you found this report interesting, even useful.

Although you can now get these selections as part of the [Grey Horse Bot](#) service or as one of Stan's [Stop at a Winner](#) members...

**...I have also opened a separate service called "Two Twenty" just dedicated to these selections.**

You can find out more about [here](#).  
<http://twotwenty.spblabs.co.uk>

Thanks  
Malcolm

P.S. Are you missing out again?

[Click here to see the results for yourself.](#)

**READ THIS - IT'S IMPORTANT:**

**Disclaimer:**

This report is for information only. In no way we are advising you to act on the report or to bet on live markets. Please seek proper advice if you are unsure what to do.

Unmatched bets, prices out of range and other factors may influence your results compared to ours so we can in no way guarantee that you will achieve similar results. In fact your results could be worse.

Please see Betfair's information on how they match bets.

Matched bets can be influenced by timing, stake amounts and other factors.

Online or off line betting is not appropriate for everyone.

There is a substantial risk of loss associated with betting. Losses can and will occur.

No system or methodology has ever been developed that can guarantee profits or ensure freedom from losses.

No representation or implication is being made that using the information on this report/article will generate profits or ensure freedom from losses.

Hypothetical performance results have many inherent limitations, some of which are described below.

No representation is being made that any account will or is likely to achieve profits or losses similar to those shown.

In fact, there are frequently sharp differences between hypothetical performance results and the actual results subsequently achieved by any particular betting program.

One of the limitations of hypothetical performance results is that they are generally prepared with the benefit of hindsight.

In addition, hypothetical betting does not involve financial risk, and no hypothetical betting record can completely account for the impact of financial risk in actual betting.

For example, the ability to withstand losses or to adhere to a particular betting program in spite of betting losses are material points which can also adversely affect betting results.

There are numerous other factors related to the markets in general or to the implementation of any specific betting program which cannot be fully accounted for in the preparation of hypothetical performance results and all of which can adversely affect actual betting results.

HYPOTHETICAL OR SIMULATED PERFORMANCE RESULTS HAVE CERTAIN LIMITATIONS.

UNLIKE AN ACTUAL PERFORMANCE RECORD, SIMULATED RESULTS DO NOT REPRESENT ACTUAL BETTING.

ALSO, SINCE THE BETS HAVE NOT BEEN EXECUTED, THE RESULTS MAY HAVE UNDER-OR-OVER COMPENSATED FOR THE IMPACT, IF ANY, OF CERTAIN MARKET FACTORS, SUCH AS LACK OF LIQUIDITY.

SIMULATED BETTING PROGRAMS IN GENERAL ARE ALSO SUBJECT TO THE FACT THAT THEY ARE DESIGNED WITH THE BENEFIT OF HINDSIGHT.

NO REPRESENTATION IS BEING MADE THAT ANY ACCOUNT WILL OR IS LIKELY TO ACHIEVE PROFIT OR LOSSES SIMILAR TO THOSE SHOWN.

Please "Gamble Responsibly"

<http://www.gambleaware.co.uk/>